




CF 1004

Single Bench

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P (S). No 5287 /2006

PETITIONER

: Gyan Singh s/o Nanku, age 46 years,
Occupation-Service, Electric Fitter,
South Eastern Coalfields Ltd. North
Chirmiri Colliery, Deva Incline r/o
Doman Hill 20 Number, P .S. Chirmiri,
District Korla, C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. South Eastern Coalfields Ltd.
Through the Chairman cum
Managing Director, Seepat Road,
Bilaspur, C.G.
2. General Manager, North Chirmiri
Colliery, G.M. Complex, Malviya
Nagar, P.S. Podi Baikunthpur,
District Korla, C.G.
3. Chief Personnel Manager, North
Chirmiri Area, G.M. Complex,
Malviya Nagar, P.S. Podi
Baikunthpur, District Korla, C.G.
4. Mines Superintendent, North
Chirmiri Colliery, (Gelhapana)
Manendragarh, District Korla,
C.G.

P.R. No. 4923/06
Presented by Sri AK Prasad
dated 5/9/06

Gaurishankar



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

60

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5287 OF 2006

Petitioner : Gyan Singh

versus

Respondents : South Eastern Coalfields Ltd.
and others

Present : Mr. A.K.Prasad, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. P.S.Koshy, counsel for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 4th of October, 2006)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is employed as Electric Fitter in the Coalfields – respondent No.1. According to the petitioner, the actual date of birth of the petitioner is 2-7-1960. By mistake, his date of birth has been recorded as 11-5-1950. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, applications have been made to the respondents to refer the matter to the Age Determination Committee for determination of the petitioner's actual date of birth. Despite several applications/representations, nothing has been done so far.

2. Mr. P.S.Koshy, learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submits that if the matter has not been considered by the Age Determination Committee in the given facts as stated by

learned counsel for the petitioner, the same may be referred to the Age Determination Committee.

3. In view of the aforementioned submissions of the parties, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the matter may be considered by the Age Determination Committee, if the same has not been done earlier. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

**Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge**